The composition of music has evolved into an interactive process of directly sculpting sound morphologies on multiple time scales. A prime example is the electroacoustic music of Horacio Vaggione. This music’s complexity and subtlety challenges mere textual description, posing formidable problems of discourse. This article traces the aesthetic and technical path followed by the composer during his career, and in so doing begins the task of developing a new analytical vocabulary. Fortunately, Professor Vaggione has written a considerable amount about his aesthetic approach. For this article, I have relied on Vaggione’s texts as well as his extensive comments on a draft of this article.
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Algorithms and Interventions: Early Encounters with Technology

At an early stage of his career, Vaggione recognized the pertinence to composition of emerging digital technology. Computers capable of generating sound were very rare in the 1960s. It required unusual persistence to gain the necessary programming
expertise as well as access to such facilities. At the age of 23, Vaggione had the opportunity to visit the University of Illinois, where Lejaren Hiller and Herbert Brün first showed him how computers could be applied to music composition (Vaggione, 1967). He studied the stochastic composition algorithms used in Hiller's *Computer Cantata* (1963) as well as the coding language of the CSX-1 Music Machine, the first program to produce digital sound at Illinois. Later he became acquainted with the programs that Hiller wrote to produce the piece *HPSCHD* in collaboration with John Cage. Hiller gave Vaggione the source code of these programs (written in the Fortran language), and introduced him to the Music N series of sound synthesis programs written by Max Mathews and his colleagues.

Vaggione began his own experiments with computer-generated sound in 1970 at the Computer Research Center of the University of Madrid (Budón, 2000). From the start, he explored a musical aesthetic based on a fabric of short duration events scattered in time. This approach, which Vaggione refers to as an ‘aesthetic of discontinuity’, is equally present in his instrumental music of the same period. In the compositions *Modelos de Universo* (1971) and *Movimiento continuo* (1972), the composer used a digital sound synthesis program called ‘Papova’ (Briones, 1970; Vaggione, 1972) running on a large IBM 7090 mainframe computer, to generate up to 20 sounds per second in each of four voices. He had followed a similar procedure—worked out manually—in composing a *Triadas* for orchestra (1968), the last piece realized by Vaggione before leaving his native Argentina. In these early pieces, Vaggione was already extending his compositional discourse into the micro time scale, and the power of the computer became essential for the full development of his musical ideas. The score of *Modelos de Universo IV* (Figure 1) provides an early example of the principle of *micromontage*—the assembly of many short sounds in high densities.

A collection of musical figures was generated in common music notation, using several strategies, going from simple algorithms to direct handwriting, and then assembled in diverse patterns which were in turn agglutinated so as to form finite sequences. Each measure of the score had a duration from one to two seconds. I wanted, through high density sequences of discrete steps, to produce

![Figure 1](image_url) Excerpt of the input score for *Modelos de Universo IV* (1970). Each measure lasts less than one second.
continuous sound phenomena arising at the edge between corpuscular and ondulatory representations, including transient intermodulations, differential sounds, foldovers and so on. Hence, as I realized later, I was already dealing, through macroscopic notation, with the micro-time domain. The score sheets were translated into machine language (the first version was realized on punched cards), in order to be entered as data into the computer, which produced the sound synthesis. The reason I began by writing a score in music notation derived from the inherent noninteractivity of the system, and the necessity of developing a strategy to produce the wanted sounds before entering the data for synthesis. (Vaggione, 1982)

Vaggione’s output in the 1980s can be seen as a consistent development of these initial explorations. Examples from the 1980s involving microsonic techniques and multi-scale perspectives (using computer languages for synthesis and transformation) include several pieces realized in Paris at IRCAM: Octuor (1982), Fractal A (1983), Fractal C (1984), Thema (1985); and later at the Technische Universität Berlin Elektronisches Studio: Tar (1987) and Scîr (1988). To these we must add Ash (1989) realized in Paris at INA/GRM using the SYTER sound processor.

Octuor was composed with the Music-10 programming language developed at Stanford University’s Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, which ran on IRCAM’s DEC PDP-10 mainframe computer. The work, which won the first prize at the NEWCOMP competition in Cambridge, Massachusetts (1983), is well documented in an article written by the composer for Computer Music Journal:

The main compositional goal was to produce a musical work of considerable timbral complexity out of a limited set of sound source materials. The process began with the generation of five synthesized files, employing additive synthesis and frequency modulation (FM) algorithms. Once this collection of sound files was completed, the next step was to analyze, reshape, multiply and combine its elements through relatively simple software manipulations, using the program S as the main analytical tool, SHAPE for control of the overall amplitude envelopes, MIX as a means for blending sound objects into complex timbral entities and KEYS for immediate random-access playback. With the help of these programs, the sound files were segmented into small portions, regrouped into several pattern and timbral families, processed, and mixed into medium and large sound textures. The product of these compositional procedures was stored as a set of new sound-object files. Then, using the KEYS program, these files were organized and finally played automatically in eight channel polyphony according to a score that specified the overall form of the piece. (Vaggione, 1984)

The interaction between formal algorithmical control and direct intervention is a hallmark of Vaggione’s compositional strategy. Specifically, he combines both algorithmic procedures and purely manual, interactive operations, the latter realized on the products of the first. The philosophy behind manual intervention on algorithmically produced morphologies was affirmed by Vaggione in these terms:

A composer knows how to generate true singular events, and how to articulate them in the larger sets without losing the sense (and control) of these singularities.
This is why purely global causal formulas are problematic in musical composition, if their automation is not compensated by other levels of articulation, notably unique compositional choices, as much global as local, as much relational as functional, thus being integrated explicitly in a compositional strategy. (Vaggione, 1989; see also Vaggione, 1992)

Vaggione’s description of one of the source sounds used in Octuor illustrates his preoccupation with the micro time scale:

The durations were, in general, very short. Silences of different lengths were placed between events. The density (or speed of succession) was very high: more than 20 events per second. This rate exceeds the limit of applicability of the Poisson law, which is valid to control sound distributions whose density are lower than 10–20 events per second. Beyond 20 events per second, one is no longer dealing with sounds as individual entities. However, the goal in building this linear structure by combining high density of sounds with highly contrasted parametric values was to create a texture showing a kind of kaleidoscopic ‘internal’ behaviour. (Vaggione, 1984)

Another work realized at IRCAM, Fractal A (1983), is one of the few pure algorithmic compositions that Vaggione ever realized. The theoretical model was Cantor’s triadic set, a set of points obtained on a given interval by throwing out the middle third and iterating this operation on the remaining intervals. The composer’s goal was to create a multilayered tapestry of microsounds. He wrote code in the programming language AWK (Aho et al., 1983), a subset of the well known C language, to generate scripts that acted as sound granulators. (A sound granulator chops a continuous sound into tiny sound particles.) The result was a systematic ‘powdering’ of the sound material (Vaggione, 1983).

Taking the simplest solution, one could make each of Cantor’s segments correspond, determined by the temporal size, to a window or grain of sound. To each step of iteration will correspond an increasingly contracted window; hence one obtains and increasingly sparse object, comprising—if one suitably regulates amplitudes of the different strata of iteration—a particular flutter, presenting itself like a particle of sonic dust: granular textures which, even if the density tends towards the infinite, will never arrive at any laminar state, but to a space saturated of void. The paradox here is that Cantor’s set, of an infinitely divisible appearance, is only this in the grains, and not in the space that surrounds them. Thus this process generate flows of grains of different sizes, flows with are at the same time irregular and intermittent. According to whether it is closer to one edge (time scale) than to another, there will be denser granulations, figural or turbulent, or sparser, at the same time emptier and more homogenous. It is thus a criterion which can be applied to the generation of granular textures and figures with precise quantitative descriptions that can be driven by strict algorithmic means. (Vaggione, 1989)

In his next piece Fractal C (1984), Vaggione returned to the approach of Octuor, combining pure algorithmic methods with manual or direct interventions, using the
interactive tools of the CARL system—a software package for sound synthesis and sound processing originally developed at the University of California, San Diego (Loy, 1984). Using a DEC VAX-11/780 mainframe computer at IRCAM, the composer stipulated UNIX commands (such as pipes) to enchain a series of musical processes. Another feature of the CARL system used in Fractal C was a ‘fast interactive mode’—a set of commands that the composer used to select portions of a sound file and create new files containing only these selected portions. According to the composer (Vaggione, 2004), this kind of selection and subdividing technique was from this point on a typical feature of his compositional strategy.

Micromontage

In these early works and continuing to the present day, the technique of micromontage is an essential component of the Vaggione style. In micromontage, the composer extracts particles from sound files and rearranges them in time and space. The term ‘montage’ derives from the world of cinema where it refers to cutting, splicing, dissolving and other film editing operations. The term ‘micro’ refers to the manner in which a composer can position each sound particle precisely on the canvas of time. ‘Digital micromontage’ refers to operations dealing with small sound particles, belonging to the micro-time domain (usually less than 100 ms). In this detailed manner of working, we have the musical equivalent of the Pointillist painter. It is notable that in music, the term ‘Pointillism’ has long been associated with the sparse serial style of Webern and his followers. Ironically, the technique of the Pointillist master Georges Seurat was anything but sparse. His canvases present a dense sea of thousands of meticulously organized brush strokes (Homer, 1964).

Granulation techniques share many similarities with micromontage (Roads, 2002). Perhaps the best way to draw a distinction between granulation and micromontage is to observe that granulation is inevitably an automatic process: the composer’s brush becomes a refined spray jet of sound color. By contrast, a sound artist can realize micromontage by working directly in the manner of a Pointillist painter: particle by particle. It therefore demands unusual patience. Of course, micromontage and granulation techniques can be seamlessly intermingled.

Thema for bass saxophone and tape (1985) and Tar for bass clarinet and tape (1987) are early examples of micromontage. Thema features streams of microsounds, such as resonant bass saxophone breath-bursts, scattered in both synchronous and asynchronous patterns along the time line. Once again, the composer used the CARL software in the realization, writing Cmusic instruments and scores in the form of alphanumerical texts. The construction of Thema by script meant that the material could be organized on an unprecedented level of micro detail.

Figure 2 shows an excerpt of the code for Tar, in which the composer defined operations dealing with micromontage. In particular 2(c) shows an excerpt of the note list that functioned as a script for micromontage. In realizing Tar, the composer developed what he called ‘object-based’ composition methods—that is, by
Figure 2 Cmusic example from *Tar* (1987). (a) List of sound files to be processed. (b) An instrument for reading sound files. Note: in the full listing of the program the composer designed twelve additional instruments. (c) Excerpt of the note list. Each note is a microevent. In the listing shown, no note lasts more than 58 ms. The first two notes start at time 0. The rest of the notes start at indicated values in seconds, with duration indicated in milliseconds. They have individual amplitudes and locations in quadraphonic space. The full score stipulated 870 notes.
means of the scripting language built into the CARL system, the composer was able to create subclasses of a specific sound object through transformations such as time-stretching or pitch-shifting. The transformed sounds inherit the morphology of the original sound. The composer has written extensively about this approach (Vaggione, 1991).

**Emergence of a New Direction**

An important transition took place with the spread of personal computers in the mid-1980s. By 1988, inexpensive personal computers had become powerful enough to support high-quality audio recording and synthesis. Experiments on the micro time scale—granular or particle synthesis—became more feasible (Roads, 1978, 1985a, 1985b; Truax, 1990a, 1990b). (My book *Microsound* (Roads, 2002) traces the history of particle synthesis from the theories of Gabor (1946) and Xenakis (1960) to the first implementations on digital computers.) In addition, two essential software tools became available in this period: the graphical sound editor and the graphical timeline audio mixing program. It is difficult to overestimate the significance of these advances that are so commonplace today. The simple ability to align multiple sounds along a timeline, to zoom in and out, and jump across time scales with the click of a button changed the nature of electroacoustic composition.

As Vaggione (in Budon, 2000) has observed, composition on multiple time scales involves no distinction between music structure and sound materials: ‘I assume that there is no difference of nature between structure and sound materials; we are just confronting different operating levels, corresponding to different time scales to compose’. With the new interactive sound tools, suddenly it was possible to apply directly any kind of sound transformation, on any time scale. The sound material itself became a composed structure. Vaggione’s *Till* (1991), for piano and tape, signals the emergence of a new direction. As personal computers replaced shared mainframe computers, Vaggione and others began to use graphical sound editors, furthering the dialectic between algorithmic and direct operations, which in turn influenced his way of dealing with the micro-time domain. In *Till*, what begins as a spiky, sharp-angled piano etude, by 8 minutes and 21 seconds starts to melt into a dense cloud of sound energy, driven by the torrential flow of thousands of tiny sound particles. This new direction crystallized in his 1994 electroacoustic composition *Schall*. In the rest of this article, I would like to focus my attention on this piece and the subsequent compositions *Nodal* (1997), *Agon* (1998), *Préludes Suspendus* (2000) and *24 Variations* (2001).

**Schall**

The raw material of *Schall* consists of thousands of sound particles derived from sampled piano, which are granulated and transformed by such operations as convolution, waveshaping and the phase vocoder.
The work plays essentially with tiny textures of feeble intensity, composed of multiple strata, which contrast with some stronger objects of different sizes, in a kind of dialog between the near and the far—as an expression of a concern with a detailed articulation of sound objects at different time scales. (Vaggione, 1995)

A fascinating aspect of style in Schall, Nodal, Agon, Préludes Suspendus and 24 Variations is the use of continuously dithering or scintillating textures, composed of more or less dense agglomerations of short-duration grains. These sometimes crackling, frying or creaking textures serve as a stationary element in the mesostructure of the pieces, holding the listener’s attention. By keeping these grainy textures low in amplitude (usually over 10 dB down from the foreground peaks and resonances), their background (or ‘far’) role is evident. The composer sustains these low-level textures for up to 20 seconds or more at a time, keeping the listener engaged while he prepares the next explosive release (the ‘near’). Like any highly detailed background pattern, their intricate design emerges into the foreground only when there is nothing else superimposed upon them for several seconds.

Schall is an outstanding example of the use of creative micromontage. The sound material consists of thousands of sound particles distributed on multiple layers of time.

What makes Schall unique is its brilliant use of the notion of switching between different time scales: from the microsonic (<100 ms duration) up to the sound object level (>100 ms) and down again into the microsonic. The laws of physics dictate that the shorter the particles, the more broadband their spectrum, as in the noisy section between 2:10 and 2:28, or the final 30 seconds of the work. Thus the interplay is not just between durations, but also between pitch and noise.

In Schall, the micromontage was mediated through interactive sound editing and mixing software.

Considering the hand-crafted side, this is the way I worked on Schall (along with algorithmic generation and manipulation of sound materials): making a frame of 7 minutes and 30 seconds and filling it by ‘replacing’ silence with objects, progressively enriching the texture by adding here and there different instances (copies as well as transformations of diverse order) of the same basic material. (Vaggione, 1999)

Here each microsound in a track is a kind of sonic brush stroke. As in a painting, it may take thousands of strokes to fill out the piece. Graphical sound editing and mixing programs offer a multiscale perspective. One can view the intimate details of sonic material, permitting microsurgery on individual sample points. Zooming out to the time scale of objects, one can edit the envelope of a sound until it has just the right weight and shape within a phrase. Zooming out still further, one can shape large
sound blocks and rearrange macrostructure. The availability of dozens of tracks lets the composer work extremely precisely on every time scale.

In 1997, at his studio on the Île-Saint-Louis in Paris, Maestro Vaggione demonstrated to me some of the micromontage techniques used to make Schall. These involved arranging microsounds using a sound mixing program with a graphical time-line interface. He loaded a catalog of previously edited microsounds into the program’s library. Then he would select items in the library and paste them onto a track at specific points on the time line running from left to right across the screen. By pasting a single particle multiple times in succession, the particles fused into a sound object on a higher temporal order. Each paste operation was like a stroke of a brush in a painting, adding a touch more color. The collection of microsounds in the library was the palette of colors. Since the program allowed the user to zoom in time, the composer could paste and edit on different time scales. The number of simultaneous tracks was essentially unlimited, which permitted a rich interplay of events, even if they were not rendered in real time.

Nodal

With Nodal (1997), the composer elaborated the materials used in Schall several steps further, while also opening up the sound palette to a range of sampled percussion instruments. The identity of these instruments is not always clear, however, since they articulate in tiny particles. The composition lasts 13:06. For the purpose of this discussion, I divide it into three parts: Part I (0:00 to 5:46), Part II (5:49 to 9:20) and Part III (9:21 to 13:06). These three sections are separated by silences that are clearly visible in a sound editor.

The strong opening attack establishes immediately the potential force of the sound energy and sets up a dramatic tension. Although the continuously granulating texture that follows is often quiet in amplitude, one realizes that the floodgates could burst at any moment. This effect is highly enhanced by ‘creaking’ sounds that give the impression of reins being strained. Part II begins with a warm fluttering texture that turns into a chaotic noise. While the ear tracks this low-frequency rumbling, at 6:18 a distinct mid-high crotales ‘roll’ with a sharp resonance at 1600 Hz sweeps across. The overall texture becomes unpredictably turgid and chaotic, until at 7:11 the composer introduces an element of stasis: a rapidly repeating piano-like sound during which the granulation background briefly lets up. This leads to a section of tactile noise, soft like a wet snowstorm. At 8:46 another wood-tapping pattern appears. This part cadences on an incongruous major chord from what sounds like a toy piano. According to the composer, this sound was the product of a variable time-stretching function applied to a short percussive sound, manipulated in time and frequency with a phase vocoder algorithm (Vaggione, 2004).

Part III introduces a ‘drum-gong’ sound deformed by means of a waveshaping technique. Waveshaping selectively bends sound waveforms according to a user-specified shaping function. As a result of this deformation, the waveform’s
timbre changes (Roads, 1996; see Vaggione 1996b, 1998, for an explanation of the composer’s application of this technique to sampled sounds). The background texture in Part III is high in frequency, sounding like rain on a thin roof. Its density gradually builds, as new bursts and resonances sweep into view. The background texture ebbs at 11:35, letting up until 12:09. The closing texture (a low-frequency rumbling that also concludes Agon) is a long 39-second fade out. This texture continues (at a low amplitude) for several seconds after the final gesture of the piece—a concluding three-event percussive tag ending.

Agon

Agon (1998) refines the processes and materials heard in Nodal. This virtuoso composition opens with a continuously fluttering band of sound in the range between 6 kHz and 16 kHz. The rate of the fluttering modulation is between 10 Hz and 20 Hz. The continuity of the high-frequency band is broken up by various and sundry colored explosions at key moments. It is as if different percussive sounds are being dropped into a gigantic granulator to be instantaneously mulched into bits of microsound. On first hearing, Agon appears to present a continuous stream of new material. Repeated listening reveals that the work recycles sound material in an efficient manner. For example, the penultimate gesture of the work—a turgid swirling mid-low frequency band—is already heard in the first 35 seconds. The final gesture of the work, a triple stroke ‘tom-click-hiss’, appears first at 2:59 and again at 3:08.

Certain of the recycled sounds in Agon are strange mutations of other sounds, while others are drawn by hand in a graphical sound editor and derive from no original source. Consider the sound first heard 40 seconds into the piece that seems like a small metal bell. According to the composer, the origin of this sound was not a bell, but was the result of a convolution cross-synthesis procedure. The bell-like sound first appears with a resonance at 750 Hz, then 59 seconds it shifts up to 1080 Hz (approximately an augmented fourth). Another frequently recycled sound is like a tom-tom stroke. According to the composer, it was actually a hand-drawn waveform. The tom-tom-like sound is first heard in a burst of strokes at 34 seconds. Both the ‘bell’ and the ‘tom-tom’ reappear at many points in Agon. A shimmering cymbal-like sound interweaves throughout the work—a component of the high-frequency band that streams through most of the piece. A ‘piano tone cluster’, which originated according to the composer as a mutation of a percussion sound, first appears at 2:01. It then signals the end of a quiet zone at 5:54, and marks a turning point of the finale at 8:10.

Préludes Suspendus

Préludes Suspendus (2000) dedicated to Jean-Claude Risset, is well worth analysis. In concert (especially when diffused by the composer), its impression is one of almost overwhelming power and dynamic energy. By contrast, in the controlled environment of the studio, we can carefully study the pattern of its intricately embroidered
design. Beneath the dramatic rhetorical flourishes is a delicate arrangement of elements. I recommend listening at moderate amplitude to catch the details.

While Schall was limited to highly processed sampled piano tones, Préludes Suspendus incorporates coloristic resources from Nodal and Agon (such as percussion samples), as well as adding new ensemble samples of brass instruments, sometimes used in sweeping arpeggiated figures. At other times, these samples are radically mutated by analysis-resynthesis techniques. In these techniques, a given sound is analyzed, the analysis data can be altered and a mutation of the original sound is then resynthesized from the altered data. Jean-Claude Risset was a pioneer in analysis-resynthesis (Risset, 1966, Risset & Mathews, 1969), as Vaggione pointed out in the program notes of the piece:

Préludes Suspendus is an electroacoustic work using as basic material some instrumental (mostly brass and percussion) sampled sounds, which were processed and transformed by means of analysis-resynthesis procedures. In designing these procedures I was often inspired by Risset’s pioneering work on ‘analysis by synthesis’, especially regarding brass sound modeling, including detailed spectral and phrasing articulations. Thus the musical figures, sometimes assembled additively as to form virtual ‘symphonic’ images, were written (specified) at several time scales, including note-by-note articulation, by means of these synthesis procedures. (Vaggione, 2002)

It is not surprising, given Vaggione’s predilections for mutating sounds, that only some of the sound objects used in the work retain the gestural or morphological features of the original sources. Certain sounds in the Préludes are detached from any perceivable source.

The work opens violently with a series of 21 forceful attacks—some of which smear together—in the first 22 seconds. The characteristic mesostructural syntax of Préludes is based on long sections of background scintillation interjected with swells of low frequency energy that emerge from the background. A prime example is the swell that begins at 46 seconds and lasts until the climax at 59 seconds. Another example is the relentless series of eight successive swells that carry the energy through the peak of the piece, which transpires in the section between 6 minutes and 7 minutes 35 seconds.

The articulation of two specific sound objects stand out in Préludes, and deserve further commentary for their symbolic and structural roles. One is a deep resonant sound, like a cross between a bass drum and a gong, with a slight downward pitch bend. It is one of Vaggione’s signature sounds, appearing for example in the opening of Part III of Nodal. When this drum-gong sound first appears at 6:34 (the piece is already half over), it comes as a foreboding surprise, like the unexpected toll of a funeral bell. It tolls four more times in the next minute. It only reappears once more: as the final sound in the piece at 9:40.

The other object is a brass flourish ascending melodically, reaching a peak, and then either sustaining, trilling or arpeggiating downward. It first appears 11 seconds
into the piece, and reappears many times, never quite the same. The flourishes stand out because they launch and release major swells of energy in the piece. Vaggione’s deployment of these melodic flourishes is quite clever. First, they emerge out of an ongoing texture. Second, their ending is always ambiguous; he inevitably superimposes other sounds at the peak of the flourish so the pitch trajectory simply merges with the ongoing texture. In effect, pitched melodies coalesce and disintegrate as a natural part of the flux and flow of the noise.

24 variations

24 variations was composed in 2001. If Préludes suspendus is Dionysian in its raucous energy, 24 variations is the cool and restrained Apollonian. This is, to me, the most gracefully poetic of Vaggione’s electroacoustic compositions. In order to appreciate this, I also recommend listening at a moderate volume in order to savor its subtleties. One is drawn in not by the expectation of spectacular climaxes, but by the originality and virtuosity of the articulations as they pass by.

To realize 24 variations I used various programs written in the SuperCollider II and Max/MSP languages. For the second version of the piece I also used IRIN, a micromontage and sound file manipulation program developed in Max/MSP by Carlos Caires at the University of Paris VIII. (Caires, 2003, 2004).

Figure 3 shows a 40-second fragment of the score for 24 variations.

Figure 3 Excerpt of the score of 24 variations (version 2), showing the timeline designed with the IRIN program. Each rectangle represents a sound clip or sample. The vertical position of a sample within a track is not significant (i.e., it does not correspond to pitch). IRIN lets one encapsulate figures within tracks and represent them as a single fragment, permitting a hierarchical building up of mesostructure.
The narrative of 24 variations unfolds deliberately, as the composer parsimoniously scatters dabs of energy over a ubiquitous background stream. Much of the sonic material has been distilled down to timbral residues: residue of piano, cymbal, tom-tom, maracas and so on. The raucous horns of Préludes are absent. Other objects stand out as electronic artefacts: jagged clicks and sinusoid-infused residues of radical spectral mutations. The odd percussive resonance at 1 minute 52 seconds is an example of the latter. This is a hollow shell of a concrète sound, perhaps the remains of a convolution. The sound lexicon features the classic Vaggione foreground versus background contrast. In the foreground are attack-resonances (piano chord, drum), pops, claps, up and down sweeps.

The rhetoric of 24 variations is dominated by interjection. Instead of grand swells and accumulations, the foreground and background dance together. Each foreground gesture eventually dissolves into the background, while the masked background emerges into the foreground. It is in the arrangement of the carefully chosen elements repeating at just the right moments that this work stands out. A prime example is the constant-pitch asynchronous grain stream, which sounds like a kind of Morse code tapping in the texture between 4 minutes 40 seconds and 4 minutes 50 seconds, returning again and again. Another subtle touch is the triple dose of silent intervals inserted between 6 minutes 30 seconds and 6 minutes 55 seconds. As in all of Vaggione’s electroacoustic compositions considered here, the work concludes with a characteristic ending tag or flourish, as if the composer were closing the door on a virtual world.

Conclusion

I am interested in investigating further the relationship between meter (as a cyclic force) and rhythm (as a non-cyclic movement) and this is not only at the level of macrotime, but at the most microscopic level reachable with our present tools. (Vaggione, in Budón, 2000)

Horacio Vaggione’s path to composition has been particularly focused. Early in his career, he recognized the pertinence of combining computer technology with the technique of micromontage. Like Xenakis, he also recognized the need for a balance between algorithmic composition and direct intervention: ‘To articulate a highly stratified musical flux by statistical means is unthinkable. On the contrary, it depends on singularities: discontinuities, figures, contrasts and details’ (Vaggione, 2003).

Through their strategies, certain high talents have a baffling ability to make fine art look like an easy game. The elements are well defined, the structure is clear, the technique is obvious. Anyone should be able to make it! Of course, this is not so. We do not really understand fully, and we eventually realize that there are deeper, unaccounted for layers. We will never comprehend the choice or the timing of singularities that break the symmetry, shatter expectation, and liberate the energy. I am convinced that what we call ‘talent’ is a combination of aptitude with an intuitive sense of choosing the right problems to solve. Horacio Vaggione consistently chooses
the most pertinent problems. In so doing, he sets the standard for the electroacoustic music of today.
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